

To: CN=Paul Simon/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US[]

Cc:

Conetta.Benny@epamail.epa.gov;Fischer.Douglas@epamail.epa.gov;Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov;Klawinski.Gary@epamail.epa.gov[]; ischer.Douglas@epamail.epa.gov;Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov;Klawinski.Gary@epamail.epa.gov[]; arbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov;Klawinski.Gary@epamail.epa.gov[]; lawinski.Gary@epamail.epa.gov[]

From: CN=David King/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Tue 8/24/2010 7:09:47 PM

Subject: Re: GE sampling plan

Paul,

Welcome back. To answer your question, no it is not. It does not re-sample 20% of the high confidence cores, require 80% recovery rate or go to 2 cores with 1 mg/kg or less as the DoC. We are putting comments together now and will have them ready tomorrow.

Dave

From: Paul Simon/R2/USEPA/US

To: Conetta.Benny@epamail.epa.gov, King.David@epamail.epa.gov, Klawinski.Gary@epamail.epa.gov

Cc: Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov, Fischer.Douglas@epamail.epa.gov

Date: 08/24/2010 02:43 PM

Subject: GE sampling plan

Hi, guys. I'm back from vacation. I hope you're well.

Is GE's Aug. 20 sed. sampling plan fully consistent with what the draft peer review report says about sediment sampling that should be done before Year 1 of Phase 2?